

External Panel Member Form

# Nomination Form – External Panel Member/Academic Advisor to Validation Panel

This form is designed to be completed and submitted electronically, with electronic signatures. For any queries, please contact <a href="mailto:quality@rau.ac.uk">quality@rau.ac.uk</a>

### Criteria for appointment of an External Panel Member/External Academic Advisor

External panel members/academic advisors form an important contribution to the University's validation and periodic programme review process in relation to:

- Providing relevant subject expertise
- Identifying best practice
- Comparisons with similar provision within the sector
- Industry advisors: current industry and employment practices.

Programme teams should complete and forward their nomination(s) to <a href="mailto:quality@rau.ac.uk">quality@rau.ac.uk</a> using the below template at the time the date for the approval/validation event is agreed.

#### Criteria:

- Nominees must have the Right to Work in the UK
- Nominees must have current academic experience and subject expertise to be able to advise on the appropriateness of new modules/programmes, and comparability nationally
- Nominees must hold an academic qualification that is at least of the same FHEQ level as the module/programme to be validated/reviewed
- Nominees must be independent of the programme validated/reviewed
- Nominees must may not be appointed more than twice in a five year period
- Nominees must not be former employees/students of the University within the last five years

**Courses with work-based elements** (e.g. FDs, work placements) may be asked to provide details of a third external adviser/panel member to demonstrate consultation with employers, practitioners or industry representatives. Where possible these should be from relevant professional bodies and/or sector skills councils.

#### **Exceptions and Special Cases**

It is recognised that the University delivers a number of specialist programmes and the number of individuals working in the field is very limited. In such cases, the nominating Subject Cluster may provide a rational in support of the proposal for consideration by AQSC.

#### **Fees**

External panel members/academic advisors will receive a single payment of £300, plus expenses incurred as a result of attendance at the validation/revalidation event. The fee is in recognition of their attendance at what is normally a one day event (in person or online), reading the documentation and provision of written comments in advance, and confirmation



Subject Area:

**External Panel Member Form** 

of the outcomes/event report in accordance with proceedings agreed with the Chair of the validation panel. All fees are paid via the University's Payroll system.

## **Nomination Form**

(to be completed by the Subject Cluster)

Cluster:		
Programme Leader:		
Event/ Date to be covered: by the External Academic Advisor		
Award/Programme/Module: to be considered at the event		
to be considered at the event		
NOMINEE DETAILS		
NOT TIME DETAILS		
Name and title:		
Current post:		
Higher Education Institution		
(incl. department), or place		
of work		
Hyperlink to Staff profile on		
webpage or attach electronic		
CV		
Contact address		
Contact email address	Cont Tel r	
CONFLICTS OF INTEREST		
Declaration of conflicts of		
interest if you consider that		
there are potential conflict/s of		
interest that should be assessed prior to formal appointment please		
declare these here:		
Completed by:	-	
External Academic Advisor Nomina	ition Form from Sept 2025	Page 2 of



External Panel Member Form

Signed:			
EXCEPTIONS AND SPECIAL CASES			
AQSC must give close consideration to this section before giving approval.			
Declaration of an exception or special case if there is a legitimate reason why the nominated External Academic Advisor does not fit all of the appointment criteria, please set out the details here, including how this would be managed (eg provision of appropriate support, etc.):  Completed by:			
Signed:			
ACADEMIC QUALITY AND STANDARDS COMMITTEE			
Chair of AQSC (or nominee) confirms the appointment has been approved by AQSC, including a consideration of any conflicts of interest and any exceptions or special cases.			
Completed by:			
Signed:			
Academic Quality Office use only (for completion by the Academic Quality Officer)			
Date nomination received:			
Decision of AQSC:  (Any comments or clarification required?)	Approved / Not Approved Date:		
Outcome of nomination notified to Dean of Subject:	Yes □ No □ Date:		
Outcome of nomination notified to Nominee:	Yes □ No □ Date:		
Outcome of nomination notified to HR:	Yes □ No □ Date:		